$$\tilde{t}_1 \to b \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$$

• With respect to  $t_1 \rightarrow tX_1^0$ , the mass of the chargino is one additional degree of freedom

Hypothesis	Targeted signature (3 players at 8 TeV)	
gaugino universality: $m_{X\pm} \sim 2m_{X0}$	2-leptons - large leptons M <sub>T2</sub> 1-lepton (dedicated SR)	
stop-chargino mass degeneracy m <sub>X±</sub> ~m <sub>t1</sub> - 10 GeV	2-leptons - large leptons MT2	
neutralino-chargino mass degeneracy (favoured if $X_1^0, X_1^{\pm}$ higgsino-like): $m_{X_{\pm}} \sim m_{X_0}$	2 b-jets + MET; 0-lepton	
Fixed chargino mass at 150 GeV	2-leptons - large leptons M <sub>T2</sub> 1-lepton (dedicated SR)	





- 2 b + E<sub>T</sub><sup>miss</sup> analysis already discussed
- Same signal regions as for direct sbottom sensitive to  $t_1 \rightarrow bX_1^{\pm}$  for small  $\Delta m(X_1^{\pm}, X_1^{0})$
- Loss of acceptance due to lepton and jet veto



### Stop summary



## Electroweak $\tilde{X}^0$ , $\tilde{X}^{\pm}$ production

- Neutralinos and chargino masses of few hundreds
  GeV expected in natural SUSY models
- LHC has sensitivity to the EW coupling-suppressed cross sections
- Give rise to multi-lepton final states
  - Very low SM background expected





# Electroweak $\tilde{X}^0$ , $\tilde{X}^{\pm}$ production

Production channel	Analysis	
chargino pair production	2-leptons	
$\mathbf{\tilde{X}}_{1} \mathbf{\tilde{X}}_{2}$ production	2-leptons, 3-leptons	
$\mathbf{\tilde{X}}_{2^{0}}\mathbf{\tilde{X}}_{3^{0}}$ production	4-leptons	

# 3-leptons background prediction validation



Background prediction
 validated in dedicated
 regions with different

Selection	VRnoZa	VRnoZb	VRZa	VRZb
m <sub>SFOS</sub> [GeV]	<81.2 or >101	.2 <81.2 or >10	01.2 81.2-101.2	81.2-101.2
<i>b</i> -jet	veto	request	veto	request
$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ [GeV]	35-50	>50	30-50	>50
Dominant proce	ss $WZ^*, Z^*Z^*, Z^*+$	jets $t\bar{t}$	WZ, Z+jets	WZ
1.1.19	*65*-61	1000		NG1
election	VRnoZa	VRnoZb	VRZa	VRZb
ri-boson	$1.4 \pm 1.4$	$0.5 \pm 0.5$	$0.6 \pm 0.6$	$0.26 \pm 0.26$
Z	$(1.3 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{2}$	$4.5 \pm 2.8$	$108 \pm 23$	$6.9 \pm 2.2$
V	$2.9 \pm 1.2$	21 ± 7	$7.4 \pm 2.6$	$26 \pm 8$
VZ	$110 \pm 21$	$34 \pm 15$	$(5.5 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{2}$	$(1.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{2}$
SM irreducible	$(2.4 \pm 0.9) \times 10^2$	$60 \pm 16$	$(6.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{2}$	$(1.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{2}$
M reducible	$(1.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^2$	$(0.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^2$	$(3.8 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{2}$	27 ± 13
SM	$(3.9 \pm 1.1) \times 10^2$	$(1.3\pm 0.5)\times 10^2$	$(10.4 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{2}$	$(2.0 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{2}$
Data	463	141	1131	171

## **3-leptons results**



## **3-leptons interpretation**

- Signal interpretation (simplified models) assumes wino-like  $X_2^0$  and  $X_1^{\pm}$ , bino-like  $X_1^0$ :  $m(X_2^{0}) = m(X_1^{\pm})$
- Degenerate neutralino-chargino mass excluded up to 610 GeV if decay via sleptons is assumed
- masses up to 310 GeV excluded even for the decay through W/Z bosons





#### Further reading, available on the web:

- S. Martin, "A Supersymmetry Primer", hep-ph/97093 http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9709356
- D.I. Kazakov, "Beyond the Standard Model", CERN school 2004
  <u>http://doc.cern.ch/yellowrep/2006/2006-003/p169.pdf</u>
- J. Ellis, Supersymmetry for Alp Hikers http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0203114

#### Lehrbücher:

- H.Baer, X. Tata, "Weak Scale Supersymmetry", 2006
- Drees, Godbole, Roy, "Theory and Phenomenology of Sparticles", 2004

## BACKUP

#### Search for Charginos and Neutralinos - the tri-lepton channel-

 Gaugino pair production via electroweak processes (small cross sections, ~0.1 – 0.5 pb, however, small expected background)



• For small gaugino masses (~100 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>) one needs to be sensitive to low  $P_T$  leptons





#### Analysis:

- Search for different (*lll*) + like-sign  $\mu\mu$  final states with missing transverse momentum
- In order to gain efficiency, no lepton identification is required for the 3<sup>rd</sup> lepton, select: two identified leptons + a track with  $p_T > 4$  GeV/c

#### mSUGRA interpretation



For specific scenarios: sensitivity / limits above LEP limits; e.g.,  $M(\chi^{\pm}) > 140 \text{ GeV/c}^2$  for the 3l-max scenario

#### 9.5 Search for Supersymmetry at the LHC

 If SUSY exists at the electroweak scale, a discovery at the LHC should be easy

Squarks and Gluinos are strongly produced

They decay through cascades to the lightest SUSY particle (LSP)



 Step: Look for deviations from the Standard Model Example: Multijet + E<sub>τ</sub><sup>miss</sup> signature

2. Step: Establish the SUSY mass scale use inclusive variables, e.g. effective mass distribution

3. Step: Determine model parameters (difficult) Strategy: select particular decay chains and use kinematics to determine mass combinations

#### Sparticle production at the LHC

Quark-gluon fusion

Quark



#### Cross sections for SUSY production processes



#### Examples of SUSY decay chains at the LHC:



Typical final states: jets + E<sub>T</sub><sup>miss</sup> (+ leptons)

#### A typical search for squark and gluino production

- If R-parity conserved, cascade decays produce distinctive events: multiple jets, leptons, and  $E_{\tau}^{miss}$
- Typical selection:  $N_{iet} > 4$ ,  $E_T > 100, 50, 50, 50$  GeV,  $E_T^{miss} > 100$  GeV
- Define:  $M_{eff} = E_T^{miss} + P_T^1 + P_T^2 + P_T^3 + P_T^4$  (effective mass)



example: mSUGRA, point SU3 (bulk region)  $m_0 = 100 \text{ GeV}, m_{1/2} = 300 \text{ GeV}$  $\tan \beta = 6$ ,  $A_0 = -300 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $\mu > 0$ 

Expectations from simulations:

LHC reach for squark- and gluino masses:  $0.1 \text{ fb}^{-1} \implies M \sim 750 \text{ GeV}$  $\begin{array}{cccc} 1 \ \text{fb}^{\text{-1}} & \Rightarrow & \mathsf{M} \sim 1350 \ \text{GeV} \\ 10 \ \text{fb}^{\text{-1}} & \Rightarrow & \mathsf{M} \sim 1800 \ \text{GeV} \end{array}$ 

Deviations from the Standard Model due to SUSY at the TeV scale can be detected fast !



# First results on the search for Etmiss + jets, no leptons (2010 data)

#### Simple selection:

- 3 jets with  $p_T$  > 50 GeV ,  $\eta$  < 2.5
- $H_T$  > 300 GeV (scalar sum of jets with  $p_T$  > 50 and  $\eta$  < 2.5 )
- $H_T^{miss}$  > 150 GeV (modulus of vector sum of jets with  $p_T$  > 30 GeV and  $\eta$  < 5)



Good agreement between data and expectations from Standard Model processes
 No evidence for an excess → limits in SUSY parameter space





- Significant extension of exclusion contours in the squark-gluino mass plane
- Gluinos below 500 GeV are excluded for m(squarks) < 1000 GeV</li>



#### First results on the search for E<sub>T</sub><sup>miss</sup> + jets (165 pb<sup>-</sup>1) (part of 2011 data already included)

Selection of events with  $E_T^{miss}$  + jets

Split the analysis according to jet multiplicities: 2,3 and 4 jets (different sensitivity for different squark/gluino mass combinations, i.e. in different regions of SUSY parameter space)





MSSM/cMSSM interpretation (for equal squark and gluino masses): L = 165 pb<sup>-1</sup>: m(squark), m(gluino) > 950 GeV



A display of the reconstructed event with the highest  $m_{eff}$  (1548 GeV) found in the ATLAS data sample. This event possesses four jets with  $p_T > 40$  GeV ( $p_T = 636$ , 189, 96 and 81 GeV respectively) and  $E_T^{miss} = 547$  GeV.

## ...additional potential: inclusive searches with leptons i.e. E<sub>T</sub><sup>miss</sup>, jets + leptons



- Smaller signal rates, but different background composition
- Again: data are well described by contributions from Standard Model processes
- Similar exclusions in the MSSM models



#### Multi-lepton search in CMS



- Multi-leptons are produced via associated production of charginos and neutralinos (like at Tevatron, see above)
- Limits extracted are already beyond the Tevatron

9.6 How can the parameter of the SUSY model be constrained ?

- Not easy !!
- Other possible scenarios for Physics Beyond the Standard Model could lead to similar final state signatures
   e.g. search for direct graviton production in extra dimension models



#### Measurement of the SUSY spectrum $\rightarrow$ Parameter of the theory



LHC: strongly interacting squarks and gluinos ILC / CLIC: precise investigation of electroweak SUSY partners

#### LHC Strategy: End point spectra of cascade decays

Example:

$$\widetilde{q} \to q \widetilde{\chi}_2^0 \to q \widetilde{\ell}^{\pm} \ell^{\mp} \to q \ell^{\pm} \ell^{\mp} \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$$



$$M^{max}_{\ell^{+}\ell^{-}} = \frac{\sqrt{(m^{2}_{\chi^{0}_{2}} - m^{2}_{\widetilde{\ell}})(m^{2}_{\widetilde{\ell}} - m^{2}_{\chi^{0}_{1}})}}{m_{\widetilde{\ell}}}$$

$$M_{\ell_1 q}^{max} = \frac{\sqrt{(m_{\chi_2^0}^2 - m_{\tilde{\ell}}^2)(m_{\tilde{q}}^2 - m_{\chi_2^0}^2)}}{m_{\chi_2^0}}$$

Results for point 01:



	LHC	LHC⊕ILC
$\Delta m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$	4.8	0.05 (input)
$\Delta m_{\tilde{l}_B}$	4.8	0.05 (input)
$\Delta m_{ ilde{\chi}_2^0}$	4.7	0.08
$\Delta m_{\tilde{q}_L}$	8.7	4.9
$\Delta m_{\tilde{q}_R}$	11.8	10.9
$\Delta m_{\tilde{g}}$	8.0	6.4
$\Delta m_{\tilde{b}_1}$	7.5	5.7
$\Delta m_{\tilde{b}_2}$	7.9	6.2
$\Delta m_{\tilde{l}L}$	5.0	0.2 (input)
$\Delta m_{\tilde{\chi}_4^0}$	5.1	2.23



 $L = 300 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ 

#### The LHC and the ILC (International Linear Collider, in study/planning phase) are complementary in SUSY searches



 $m_{1/2}$ 



)\* Study by J. Ellis et al., hep-ph/0202110

 $\mathbf{m}_0$ 

Strategy in SUSY Searches at the LHC:

- Search for multijet + E<sub>T</sub><sup>miss</sup> excess
- If found, select SUSY sample (simple cuts)
- Look for special features (γ's, long lived sleptons)
- Look for  $l^{\pm}$ ,  $l^{+} l^{-}$ ,  $l^{\pm} l^{\pm}$ , b-jets,  $\tau$ 's
- End point analyses, global fit  $\rightarrow$  SUSY model parameters

#### **Dark Matter at Accelerators ?**

Parameter of the SUSY-Model  $\Rightarrow$  Predictions for the relic density of **Dark Matter** 

$$\rho_{\chi} \sim m_{\chi} n_{\chi}, \quad n_{\chi} \sim \frac{1}{\sigma_{ann}(\chi\chi \rightarrow \ldots)}$$



## Importance for the interplay between direct and indirect Dark Matter searches

- Following a discovery of New Physics at the LHC (deviation from the Standard Model) the LHC will aim to test the Dark Matter hypothesis
- Estimation of relic density in a simple model-dependent scenario will be the first goal
- Less model-dependent scenarios will follow, detailed studies probably require the ILC
- Conclusive result is only possible in conjunction with astroparticle physics experiments
- Ultimate goal: observation of LSP at the LHC, confirmed by a signal in a direct dark matter experiment with predicted mass and cross-section



