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Production rates at the LHC

Rates for $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, $L = 10^{34}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$:

- Inelastic proton-proton reactions: $10^9$ / sec
- Quark–quark/gluon scattering with large transverse momentum: $\sim 5 \times 10^7$ / sec
- b-quark pairs: $5 \times 10^6$ / sec
- Top-quark pairs: 8 / sec
- $W \rightarrow e \nu$: 150 / sec
- $Z \rightarrow e e$: 15 / sec
- Higgs (150 GeV): 0.2 / sec
- Gluino, squarks (1 TeV): 0.03 / sec

Dominant hard scattering processes: quark - quark, quark - gluon, gluon - gluon
What experimental signatures can be used?

Quark-quark scattering:

No leptons / photons in the initial and final state

If leptons with large transverse momentum are observed:

⇒ interesting physics!

Example: Higgs boson production and decay

Important signatures:
- Leptons und photons
- Missing transverse energy
Detector requirements from physics

• Good measurement of leptons (e, μ) and photons with large transverse momentum $p_T$

• Good measurement of missing transverse energy ($E_T^{\text{miss}}$) and energy measurements in the forward regions
  ⇒ calorimeter coverage down to about 1 deg. to the beam pipe

• Efficient $b$-tagging and $\tau$ identification (silicon strip and pixel detectors)
Detector requirements from the experimental environment (pile-up)

• LHC detectors must have **fast response**, otherwise integrate over many bunch crossings → too large pile-up

  Typical response time: 20-50 ns
  → integrate over 1-2 bunch crossings
  → pile-up of 25-50 minimum bias events
  ⇒ very challenging readout electronics

• **High granularity** to minimize probability that pile-up particles be in the same detector element as interesting object
  → large number of electronic channels, high cost

• LHC detectors must be **radiation resistant**: high flux of particles from pp collisions → high radiation environment
  e.g. in forward calorimeters: up to \(10^{17} \text{ n/cm}^2\) in 10 years of LHC operation
ATLAS neutron fluences
What parameters should be measured?

• Identification of leptons (e, μ) and photons (γ)

• Precise measurement of the lepton / photon four-vector (momentum and energy)

  Momentum measurement in a magnetic field (works for e, μ)

  Energy measurement in so-called electromagnetic calorimeters (e, γ)

• Identification and energy measurement of jets (quarks and gluons)  
  (→ energy measurement of hadrons)

  Energy measurement in so-called hadron calorimeters  
  (charged and neutral hadrons)
• Measurement of the vector sum of the transverse energy \((\Sigma E_x, \Sigma E_y)\); modulus = **total transverse energy**

  electromagnetic and hadronic calorimeter
  (energy sum over all calorimeter units / cells, both electromagnetic and hadronic calorimeter)

• **Missing transverse energy**: \(- (\Sigma E_x, \Sigma E_y)\)
• Identification of the third generation particles (b-quarks and \( \tau \)-leptons)

3\textsuperscript{rd} generation particles are very important in many physics scenarios

* they are heavy \( \rightarrow \) strong coupling to the Higgs boson

* appear in top-quark decays: \( t \rightarrow W b \rightarrow l \nu b \)

* appear in decays of SUSY particles
  (the supersymmetric partners of the b- and t-quark might be the lightest squarks)

Characteristic signatures: lifetime in the order of picoseconds
\( \tau \) (B-hadrons) \( \sim 1.5 \) ps \( c_{\gamma \tau} \sim 2-3 \) mm
\( \tau \) (\( \tau \) lepton) \( \sim 0.3 \) ps

Reconstruction of the decay vertices (secondary vertices) in the vicinity of the primary vertex (interaction point)
2.2 Detection principles

- Particles are detected via their interaction with matter, i.e. with the detector material; in general: full solid angle ($4\pi$) is covered; many particles $\rightarrow$ high segmentation of detectors

- Different particles interact differently with the detector media $\rightarrow$ possibility for their identification

- Energy is transferred to the sensitive material layers $\rightarrow$ electrical or light signal
Detection principles (cont.)

(i) Tracking detectors:

- Measure the position (space information) of the particle several times;
  based on electromagnetic interaction, electric charge required
  \(\rightarrow\) track of charged particles
- If a magnetic field in tracking volume \(\rightarrow\) Lorentz force on charged particle
  \(\rightarrow\) curvature of track
  \(\rightarrow\) momentum \(p\) of charged particles
(ii) **Calorimeters**: measure the energy of the particles, particles are stopped, their full energy is deposited, part of it is transferred to a detector medium.

Different particles (e, γ, π, K, …) differ in interactions and penetration length;

Usually two sections of the calorimeters:
- **Electromagnetic calorimeter**: (e, γ) are stopped / absorbed;
- **Hadronic calorimeter**: hadrons are stopped (π, K, p, n, …..)
- note: muons and neutrinos are NOT stopped / absorbed
(iii) **Muon detectors:**
- Due to their relatively large mass and their lepton nature, muons have a “small” interaction with the detector material;
- They penetrate the calorimeters and give signals in “tracking detectors” behind the calorimeters; these are called muon detectors;
- Signature: track, small signals in calorimeters, track in muon detector
(iv) How to detect neutrinos?

- Neutrinos interact only weakly, i.e. via the weak interaction, with the detector material

- Detector thickness is far too small to stop/absorb them

- They carry away energy and momentum

- Their presence can only be inferred via an apparent violation of energy and momentum conservation

i.e. **indirect detection of neutrinos**
 (and other purely weakly interacting particles)
Layers of the ATLAS detector
2.3 Introduction to Detector Physics

- Detection through the interaction of particles with matter, e.g. via energy loss in a medium (ionization and excitation)

- Energy loss must be detected, made visible, mainly in form of electric signals or light signals

- Fundamental interaction for charged particles: electromagnetic interaction
  Energy is mainly lost due to interaction of the particles with the electrons of the atoms of the medium

  Cross sections are large: \( \sigma \sim 10^{-17} - 10^{-16} \text{ cm}^2 \)

  Small energy loss per collision, however, large number of them in dense materials

- Interaction processes (energy loss, scattering,..) are a nuisance for precise measurements and limit their accuracy
Overview on energy loss / detection processes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Charged particles</th>
<th>Photons, $\gamma$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ionisation and excitation</td>
<td>Photoelectric effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bremsstrahlung</td>
<td>Compton scattering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pair creation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cherenkov radiation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition radiation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3.1 Energy loss by ionisation and excitation

- A charged particle with mass $m_0$ interacts primarily with the electrons (mass $m_e$) of the atom;
  Inelastic collisions $\rightarrow$ energy loss

- Maximal transferable kinetic energy is given by:
  $$T^\text{max} = \frac{2m_e c^2 \beta^2 \gamma^2}{1 + 2 \gamma m_e / m_0 + (m_e / m_0)^2}$$

  max. values: Muon with $E = 1.06 \text{ GeV}$ ($\gamma = 10$): $E_{\text{kin}}^\text{max} \approx 100 \text{ MeV}$

Two types of collisions:
- **Soft collision:** only excitation of the atom
- **Hard collision:** ionisation of the atom
  - In some of the hard collisions the atomic electron acquires such a large energy that it causes secondary ionisation ($\delta$-electrons).

- Ionisation of atoms along the track / path of the particle;
- In general, small energy loss per collision, but many collisions in dense materials $\rightarrow$ energy loss distribution
  - one can work with average energy loss

- Elastic collisions from nuclei cause very little energy loss, they are the main cause for deflection / scattering under large angles
Bethe-Bloch formula gives the mean energy loss (stopping power) for a heavy charged particle ($m_0 \gg m_e$)*

\[-\frac{dE}{dx} = K z^2 \frac{Z}{A} \frac{1}{\beta^2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{2m_e c^2 \beta^2 \gamma^2 T_{\text{max}}}{I^2} - \beta^2 - \frac{\delta(\beta \gamma)}{2} \right)\]

A : atomic mass of absorber

\[K \frac{A}{A} = 4\pi N_A r_0^2 m_e c^2 / A = 0.307075 \text{ MeV g}^{-1}\text{cm}^2, \text{ for } A = 1\text{g mol}^{-1}\]

z: atomic number of incident particle

Z: atomic number of absorber

I : energy needed for ionization

$T_{\text{max}}$: max. energy transfer (see previous slide)

$\delta(\beta \gamma)$: density effect correction to ionization energy loss

$x = \rho s$, surface density or mass thickness, with unit g/cm$^2$

(where $\rho$ is the density and $s$ is the path length)

dE/dx has the units MeV cm$^2$/g

* note: Bethe-Bloch formula is not valid for electrons (equal mass, identical particles)
History of Energy Loss Calculations: $dE/dx$

1915: Niels Bohr, classical formula, Nobel prize 1922.
1930: Non-relativistic formula found by Hans Bethe
1932: Relativistic formula by Hans Bethe

Bethe’s calculation is leading order in perturbation theory, thus only $z^2$ terms are included.

**Additional corrections:**

• $z^3$ corrections calculated by Barkas-Andersen

• $z^4$ correction calculated by Felix Bloch
  (Nobel prize 1952, for nuclear magnetic resonance).
  Although the formula is called Bethe-Bloch formula the $z^4$ term is usually not included.

• Shell corrections: atomic electrons are not stationary

• Density corrections: by Enrico Fermi
  (Nobel prize 1938, for the discovery of nuclear reaction induced by slow neutrons).

Hans Bethe (1906-2005)
Important features / dependencies:

- Energy loss is independent of the mass of the incoming particle → universal curve

- Depends quadratically on the charge and velocity of the particle: \( \sim \frac{z^2}{\beta^2} \)

- \( \frac{dE}{dx} \) is relatively independent of the absorber (enters only via \( \frac{Z}{A} \), which is constant over a large range of materials)

- Minimum for \( \beta \gamma \approx 3.5 \)
  
  Energy loss in the minimum:
  
  \[
  \left. \frac{dE}{dx} \right|_{\min} \approx 1.5 \frac{MeV \cdot cm^2}{g}
  \]

  (particles that undergo minimal energy loss are called “minimum ionizing particle” = mip)

- Logarithmic rise for large values of \( \beta \gamma \) due to relativistic effects; This effect is damped in dense media \( \delta(\beta \gamma) \)
Examples of Mean Energy Loss

Bethe-Bloch formula:

\[ -\frac{dE}{dx} = K Z A^2 \frac{1}{\beta^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \ln f(\beta) - \beta^2 - \frac{\delta(\beta \gamma)}{2} \right] \]

Except in hydrogen, particles of the same velocity have similar energy loss in different materials.

The minimum in ionisation occurs at $\beta \gamma = 3.5$ to $3.0$, as $Z$ goes from 7 to 100

Figure 27.3: Mean energy loss rate in liquid (bubble chamber) hydrogen, gaseous helium, carbon, aluminum, iron, tin, and lead. Radiative effects, relevant for muons and pions, are not included. These become significant for muons in iron for $\beta \gamma \gtrsim 1000$, and at lower momenta for muons in higher-Z absorbers. See Fig. 27.21.
Consequence: dE/dx measurements can be used to identify particles

\[ \beta \gamma = \frac{p}{E} \frac{E}{m} = \frac{p}{m} \]

- Universal curve as function of \( \beta \gamma \) splits up for different particle masses, if taken as function of energy or momentum

\[ \rightarrow \text{a simultaneous measurement of } dE/dx \text{ and } p \text{ (or } E) \rightarrow \text{ particle identification} \]
A simultaneous measurement of $dE/dx$ and momentum $p$ can provide particle identification. Works well in the low momentum range ($< \sim 1 \text{ GeV}$).
Fluctuations in Energy Loss

- A real detector (limited granularity) measures the energy $\Delta E$ deposited in layers of finite thickness $\Delta x$;

- Repeated measurements $\rightarrow$ sampling from an energy loss distribution

- For thin layers or low density materials, the energy loss distribution shows large fluctuations towards high losses, so called Landau tails.

  Example: Silicon sensor, 300 $\mu$m thick, $\Delta E_{\text{mip}} \sim 82$ keV, $<\Delta E> \sim 115$ keV

- For thick layers and high density materials, the energy loss distribution shows a more Gaussian-like distribution (many collisions, Central Limit Theorem)
2.3.2 Energy loss due to bremsstrahlung

- High energy charged particles undergo an additional energy loss (in addition to ionization energy loss) due to bremsstrahlung, i.e. radiation of photons, in the Coulomb field of the atomic nuclei

\[
\frac{dE}{dx} \bigg|_{\text{Brems}} = 4\alpha N_A \left( \frac{e^2}{mc^2} \right)^2 \ln \frac{183}{Z^{1/3}} \frac{Z(Z+1)}{A} Q^2 E
\]

where: \( Q, m = \) electric charge and mass of the particle, 
\( \alpha = \) fine structure constant 
\( A, Z = \) atomic number, number of protons of the material 
\( N_A = \) Avogadro’s number

- One can introduce the so-called radiation length \( X_0 \) defined via:

\[
\frac{1}{X_0} = 4\alpha N_A \left( \frac{e^2}{m_e c^2} \right)^2 \ln \frac{183}{Z^{1/3}} \frac{Z(Z+1)}{A}
\]

\[
\frac{dE}{dx} \bigg|_{\text{Brems}} \quad \text{for electrons} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{dE}{dx} \bigg|_{\text{Brems}} := \frac{1}{X_0} E
\]

It should be noted that in the definition of \( X_0 \) the electron mass is used (electron as incoming particle). It only depends on electron and material constants and characterises the radiation of electrons in matter.
Most important dependencies:

- material dependence

\[ \frac{dE}{dx} \sim \frac{Z(Z + 1)}{A} \]

- depends on the mass of the incoming particle:
  (light particles radiate more)

This is the reason for the strong difference in bremsstrahlung energy loss between electrons and muons

- proportional to the energy of the incoming particle

This implies that this energy loss contribution will become significant for high energy muons as well

\[ \frac{dE}{dx} \sim \frac{1}{m^2} \]

\[ \left( \frac{dE}{dx} \right)_\mu / \left( \frac{dE}{dx} \right)_e \sim \frac{1}{40.000} \]
For electrons the energy loss equation reduces to

\[ \frac{dE}{dx}_{\text{Brems}} := \frac{1}{X_0} E \quad \Rightarrow \quad E(x) = E_0 e^{-x/X_0} \]

- The energy of an electron decreases exponentially as a function of the thickness \( x \) of the traversed material, due to bremsstrahlung;
  - After \( x = X_0 \):
    \[ E(X_0) = \frac{E_0}{e} = 0.37E_0 \]
- Continuous \( 1/E \) energy loss spectrum, mainly soft radiation, with hard tail
- One defines the critical energy, as the energy where the energy loss due to ionization and bremsstrahlung are equal

\[ \frac{dE}{dx} \sim \frac{Z (Z + 1)}{A} \]

useful approximations for electrons:
- (heavy elements)
  \[ E_c = \frac{550 \text{MeV}}{Z} \]
  \[ X_0 = 180 \frac{A}{Z^2} \left( \frac{\text{g}}{\text{cm}^2} \right) \]
Critical energies in copper \((Z = 29)\):

\[
E_c(e) \approx 20 \text{ MeV}
\]

\[
E_c(\mu) \approx 1 \text{ TeV}
\]

- Muons with energies > \(\sim 10 \text{ GeV}\) are able to penetrate thick layers of matter, e.g. calorimeters;

- This is the key signature for muon identification
Energy loss $dE/dx$ for muons in iron;

- critical energy $\approx 870$ GeV;

- At high energies also the pair creation $\mu (A) \rightarrow \mu e^+e^- (A)$ becomes important
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>$X_0$ (cm)</th>
<th>$E_c$ (MeV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$ Gas He</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>70000</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>53000</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li C</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe Cu W Pb</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>74</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air SiO$_2$ Water</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>30000</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Radiations lengths and critical energies for various materials (from Ref. [Grupen])
2.3.3 Strong interaction of hadrons

- Charged and neutral hadrons can interact with the detector material, in particular in the dense calorimeter material, via the strong interaction.

- The relevant interaction processes are inelastic hadron-hadron collisions, e.g. inelastic $\pi p$, $K p$, $p p$ and $n p$ scattering; in such interactions, usually new hadrons (mesons) are created, energy is distributed to higher multiplicities.

Hadronic interactions are characterized by the hadronic interaction length $\lambda_{\text{had}}$.

- A beam of hadrons is attenuated in matter due to hadronic interactions as
  \[ I(x) = I_0 e^{-x/\lambda_{\text{had}}} \]
  where $x =$ depth in material.

- The hadronic interaction length is a material constant and is linked to the inelastic interaction cross section $\sigma_{\text{inel}}$
  \[ \frac{1}{\lambda_{\text{had}}} = \sigma_{\text{inel}} \cdot \frac{N_A \cdot \rho}{A} \]
  Approximation: $\lambda_{\text{had}} \approx 35 A^{1/3}$ (cm)
  note: in contrast to the radiation length $X_0$, the hadronic interaction length are large (range of meters).
Some values for radiation and hadronic absorption lengths:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>$X_0$ (cm)</th>
<th>$\lambda_{\text{had}}$ (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$ Gas</td>
<td>70000</td>
<td>71800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He</td>
<td>53000</td>
<td>52000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>40.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>38.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>16.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>15.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>9.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>17.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

note: for high Z materials, the hadronic interaction lengths are about a factor 10-30 larger than the radiation lengths

→ much more material is needed to stop hadrons compared to electrons; this explains the large extension of hadronic calorimeters
2.4 Basics on Momentum measurement, Tracking Detectors

- In general the track of a charged particle is measured using several \((N)\) position-sensitive detectors in a magnetic field volume.

- Assume that each detector measures the coordinates of the track with a precision of \(\sigma(x)\).

- The obtainable momentum resolution depends on:
  - \(L\) (length of the measurement volume)
  - \(B\) (magnetic field strength)
  - \(\sigma\) (position resolution)

For \(N\) equidistant measurements, the momentum resolution is described by the Gluckstern formula (1963):

\[
\frac{\sigma(p_T)}{p_T} \biggr|_{\text{meas.}} = \frac{\sigma(x) \cdot p_T}{0.3 \cdot B L^2} \sqrt{\frac{720}{N + 4}}
\]

(for \(N \geq \sim 10\))

Note: \(\Delta(p_T) / p_T \sim p_T\) (relative resolution degrades with higher transverse momentum)
Momentum measurement (cont.)

- Degradation of the resolution due to Coulomb multiple scattering (no ionization, elastic scattering on nuclei, change of direction)

\[
\theta_0 = \theta_{\text{plane}}^{\text{RMS}} = \sqrt{\left(\theta_{\text{plane}}\right)^2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \theta_{\text{space}}^{\text{RMS}} \approx \frac{1}{p} \sqrt{\frac{L}{X_0}}
\]

where \(X_0 = \) radiation length of the material
Semiconductor detectors (silicon)

- In all modern particle physics experiments semiconductor detectors are used as tracking devices with a high spatial resolution (15-20 µm).
- Nearly an order of magnitude more precise than detectors based on ionisation in gas (which was standard up to LEP experiments).

Abb. 7.16 Schematische Darstellung des Aufbaus eines Silizium-Streifenzählers. Jeder Auslesestreifen liegt auf negativer Hochspannung. Die Streifen sind untereinander kapazitiv gekoppelt (nicht maßstabsgetreu, nach [284]).
How to obtain a signal

In a pure intrinsic (undoped) semiconductor the electron density \( n \) and hole density \( p \) are equal.

\[
 n = p = n_i \quad \text{For Silicon: } n_i \approx 1.45 \cdot 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3}
\]

4.5 \cdot 10^8 \text{ free charge carriers in this volume, but only } 3.2 \cdot 10^4 \text{ e-h pairs produced by a M.I.P.}

\Rightarrow \text{Reduce number of free charge carriers, i.e. deplete the detector}

\Rightarrow \text{Most detectors make use of reverse biased p-n junctions}
Schematic Si-Detector

![Schematic Si-Detector diagram]
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\begin{align*}
\sigma/p_T & \sim 0.05\% \quad p_T \oplus 1\% \\
\end{align*}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>R-(\phi) accuracy</th>
<th>R or z accuracy</th>
<th># channels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pixel</td>
<td>10 (\mu m)</td>
<td>115 (\mu m)</td>
<td>80.4M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCT</td>
<td>17 (\mu m)</td>
<td>580 (\mu m)</td>
<td>6.3M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRT</td>
<td>130 (\mu m)</td>
<td></td>
<td>351k</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.5 Energy measurement in calorimeters
Calorimetry: = Energy measurement by total absorption, usually combined with spatial information / reconstruction

*latin: calor = heat*

However: calorimetry in particle physics does not correspond to measurements of \( \Delta T \)

- The temperature change of 1 liter water at 20 °C by the energy deposition of a 1 GeV particle is \( 3.8 \times 10^{-14} \) K!

- LHC: total stored beam energy
  \[ E = 10^{14} \text{ protons} \times 14 \text{ TeV} \sim 10^8 \text{ J} \]

  If transferred to heat, this energy would only suffice to heat a mass of 239 kg water from 0°C to 100°C

  \[ c_{\text{Water}} = 4.18 \text{ J g}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}, \quad m = \Delta E / (c_{\text{Water}} \Delta T) \]
2.5.1 Concept of a particle physics calorimeter

- Primary task: measurement of the total energy of particles

- Energy is transferred to an **electrical signal** (ionization charge) or to a **light signal** (scintillators, Cherenkov light)
  
  This **signal** should be **proportional to the original energy**: \( E = \alpha S \)

  **Calibration procedure** \( \Rightarrow \alpha \) [GeV / S]

  Energy of primary particle is transferred to new particles,
  \( \Rightarrow \) cascade of new, lower energy particles

- Layout: block of material in which the particle deposits its energy
  (absorber material (Fe, Pb, Cu,...)
  + sensitive medium (Liquid argon, scintillators, gas ionization detectors,..)
Important parameters of a calorimeter:

- **Linearity** of the energy measurement

- Precision of the energy measurement (resolution $\Delta E / E$) in general limited by fluctuations in the shower process
  worse for sampling calorimeters as compared to homogeneous calorimeters

- Uniformity of the energy response to different particles (**e/h response**) in general: response of calorimeters is different to so-called electromagnetic particles (e, $\gamma$) and hadrons (h) (see later)
2.5.2 Electromagnetic and hadronic showers

- Particle showers created by electrons/positrons or photons are called electromagnetic showers (only electromagnetic interaction involved)

- Basic processes for particle creation: bremsstrahlung and pair creation

- Characteristic interaction length: radiation length $X_0$

- Number of particles in the shower increases, until a critical energy $E_c$ is reached; For $E < E_c$ the energy loss due to ionization and excitation dominates, the number of particles decreases, due to stopping in material
Hadronic showers

- Hadrons initiate the shower by inelastic hadronic interactions; (strong interaction, showers are called hadronic showers)

- Hadronic showers are much more complex than electromagnetic showers

- Several secondary particles, meson production, multiplicity $\sim \ln(E)$

- $\pi^0$ components, $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, electromagnetic sub-showers; The fraction of the electromagnetic component grows with energy, $f_{EM} = 0.1 \ln E$ (E in GeV, in the range 10 GeV < E < 100 GeV)
• During the hadronic interactions atomic nuclei are broken up or remain in exited states

  The corresponding energy (excitation energy, binding energy) comes from original particle energy
  \( \rightarrow \) no or only partial contribution to the visible energy

• In addition, there is an important neutron component

  The interaction of neutrons depends strongly on their energy;
  Extreme cases:
  - Nuclear reaction, e.g. nuclear fission \( \rightarrow \) energy recovered
  - Escaping the calorimeter (undergo only elastic scattering, without inelastic interaction)

• Decays of particles (slow particles at the end of the shower)
  e.g. \( \pi \rightarrow \mu \nu_\mu \) \( \rightarrow \) escaping particles \( \rightarrow \) missing energy

These energy loss processes have important consequences:
in general, the response of the calorimeter to electrons/photons and hadrons is different!
The signal for hadrons is non-linear and smaller than the e/\( \gamma \) signal for the same particle energy
Two hadronic showers in a sampling calorimeter

Hadronic showers show large fluctuations from one event to another → the energy resolution is worse than for electromagnetic showers

Red: electromagnetic component
Blue: charged hadron component
2.5.3 Layout and readout of calorimeters

- In general, one distinguishes between **homogenous calorimeters** and **sampling calorimeters**

  **For homogeneous calorimeters:** absorber material = active (sensitive) medium

  ![Homogeneous calorimeter](image)

  - Examples for **homogeneous calorimeters**:
    - NaJ or other crystals (Scintillation light)
    - Lead glass (Cherenkov light)
    - Liquid argon or liquid krypton calorimeters (Ionization charge)

  ![Sampling calorimeter](image)

  **Sampling calorimeters:** absorption and hadronic interactions occur mainly in dedicated **absorber materials** (dense materials with high Z, passive material)

  Signal is created in **active medium**, only a fraction of the energy contributes to the measured energy signal
Examples for sampling calorimeters

(a) Scintillators, optically coupled to photomultipliers
(b) Scintillators, wave length shifters, light guides
(c) Ionization charge in liquids
(d) Ionization charge in multi-wire proportional chambers
2.5.4 Energy resolution of calorimeters

- The energy resolution of calorimeters depends on the fluctuations of the measured signal (for the same energy $E_0$), i.e. on the fluctuation of the measured signal delivered by charged particles.

Example: Liquid argon, ionization charge: $Q \sim <N> <T_0> \sim E_0$

where: $<N> = \text{average number of produced charge particles,}$

$\sim E_0 / E_c$

$<T_0> = \text{average track length in the active medium}$

For sampling calorimeters only a fraction $f$ of the total track length (the one in the active medium) is relevant;
Likewise, if there is a threshold for detection (e.g. Cherenkov light)

- The energy resolution is determined by statistical fluctuations:
  - Number of produced charged particles (electrons for electromagnetic showers)
  - Fluctuations in the energy loss (Landau distribution of Bethe-Bloch sampling)

- For the resolution one obtains:

$$\frac{\Delta E}{E} = \frac{\Delta Q}{Q} \propto \frac{\sqrt{N}}{N} \propto \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{E}}$$
• The energy resolution of calorimeters can be parametrized as:

\[ \frac{\Delta E}{E} = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{E}} \oplus \beta \oplus \frac{\gamma}{E} \]

• \( \alpha \) is the so called stochastic term (statistical fluctuations)

• \( \beta \) is the constant term (dominates at high energies)

  important contributions to \( \beta \) are:
  - stability of the calibration (temperature, radiation, ….)
  - leakage effects (longitudinal and lateral)
  - uniformity of the signal
  - loss of energy in dead material
  - ….

• \( \gamma \) is the noise term (electronic noise, ..)

• Also angular and spatial resolutions scale like \( 1/\sqrt{E} \)
Examples for energy resolutions seen in electromagnetic calorimeters in large detector systems:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Calorimeter</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>$\gamma$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>BGO</td>
<td>&lt; 2.0%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaBar</td>
<td>CsI (TI)</td>
<td>(*) 1.3%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>0.4 MeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPAL</td>
<td>Lead glass</td>
<td>(**) 5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(++) 3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA48</td>
<td>Liquid krypton</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>125 MeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UA2</td>
<td>Pb / Scintillator</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALEPH</td>
<td>Pb / Prop.chamb.</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZEUS</td>
<td>U / Scintillator</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td>Pb / Liquid argon</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>154 MeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D0</td>
<td>U / Liquid argon</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>140 MeV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) scaling according to $E^{-1/4}$ rather than $E^{-1/2}$

(**) at 10 GeV

(++) at 45 GeV
hadronic energy resolutions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Kalorimeter</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>$\gamma$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALEPH</td>
<td>Fe/Streamer Rohre</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZEUS (*)</td>
<td>U/Szintillator</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1 (+)</td>
<td>Fe/Flüssig - Argon</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>900 MeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D0</td>
<td>U/Flüssig - Argon</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>1380 MeV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) compensating calorimeter  
(+ ) weighting technique

- In general, the energy response of calorimeters is different for $e/\gamma$ and hadrons; A measure of this is the so-called $e/h$ ratio

- In so-called “compensating” calorimeters, one tries to compensate for the energy losses in hadronic showers ($\rightarrow$ and bring $e/h$ close to 1)

  physical processes:  
  - energy recovery from nuclear fission, initiated by slow neutrons (uranium calorimeters)  
  - transfer energy from neutrons to protons (same mass) use hydrogen enriched materials / free protons
2.6 Measurements of muons
Muon Detectors

Muon detectors are tracking detectors (e.g. wire chambers)
- they form the outer shell of the (LHC) detectors
- they are not only sensitive to muons (but to all charged particles)!
- just by “definition”: if a particle has reached the muon detector, it is considered to be a muon (all other particles should have been absorbed in the calorimeters)

Challenge for muon detectors
- large surface to cover (outer shell)
- keep mechanical positioning over time

ATLAS
- 1200 chambers with 5500 m²
- also good knowledge of (inhomogeneous) magnetic field needed
ATLAS muon system

Table 6.2: Main MDT chamber parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Design value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tube material</td>
<td>Al</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer tube diameter</td>
<td>29.970 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube wall thickness</td>
<td>0.4 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wire material</td>
<td>gold-plated W/Re (97/3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wire diameter</td>
<td>50 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas mixture</td>
<td>Ar/CO₂/H₂O (93/7/≤ 1000 ppm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas pressure</td>
<td>3 bar (absolute)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas gain</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wire potential</td>
<td>3080 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum drift time</td>
<td>$\sim 700$ ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average resolution per tube</td>
<td>$\sim 80$ μm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ATLAS muon system
Muon detector system
In the forward region
CMS Muon system
2.7 Important differences between the ATLAS and CMS detectors
The ATLAS detector concept
The CMS detector concept
The ATLAS experiment

- Solenoidal magnetic field (2T) in the central region (momentum measurement)

High resolution silicon detectors:
- 6 Mio. channels
  (80 μm x 12 cm)
- 100 Mio. channels
  (50 μm x 400 μm)
  space resolution: ~ 15 μm

- Energy measurement down to 1° to the beam line

- Independent muon spectrometer
  (supercond. toroid system)

Diameter 25 m
Barrel toroid length 26 m
End-cap end-wall chamber span 46 m
Overall weight 7000 Tons
The CMS experiment

**Superconducting Coil, 4 Tesla**

**CALORIMETERS**
- **ECAL**
  - 76k scintillating PbWO4 crystals
- **HCAL**
  - Plastic scintillator/brass sandwich

**TRACKER**
- Pixels
- Silicon Microstrips
- 210 m² of silicon sensors
- 9.6M channels

**MUON BARREL**
- Drift Tube Chambers (DT)
- Resistive Plate Chambers (RPC)

**IRON YOKE**

**MUON ENDCAPS**
- Cathode Strip Chambers (CSC)
- Resistive Plate Chambers (RPC)

**Total weight** 12500 t
**Overall diameter** 15 m
**Overall length** 21.6 m
How large are ATLAS and CMS?

Size of detectors:

- Volume: 20 000 m$^3$ for ATLAS
- Weight: 12 500 tons for CMS
- 66 to 80 million pixel readout channels near vertex
- 200 m$^2$ of active silicon for CMS tracker
- 175 000 readout channels for ATLAS LAr EM calorimeter
- 1 million channels and 10 000 m$^2$ area of muon chambers
- Very selective trigger/DAQ system
- Large-scale offline software and worldwide computing (GRID)

Time-scale:

More than 25 years from first conceptual studies (Lausanne 1984) to solid physics results in 2011
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ATLAS</th>
<th>CMS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Magnetic field</strong></td>
<td>2 T solenoid + toroid: 0.5 T (barrel), 1 T (endcap)</td>
<td>4 T solenoid + return yoke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tracker</strong></td>
<td>Silicon pixels and strips + transition radiation tracker</td>
<td>Silicon pixels and strips (full silicon tracker)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma/p_T \approx 5 \cdot 10^{-4} p_T + 0.01$</td>
<td>$\sigma/p_T \approx 1.5 \cdot 10^{-4} p_T + 0.005$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EM calorimeter</strong></td>
<td>Liquid argon + Pb absorbers</td>
<td>PbWO$_4$ crystals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma/E \approx 10%/\sqrt{E} + 0.007$</td>
<td>$\sigma/E \approx 3%/\sqrt{E} + 0.003$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hadronic calorimeter</strong></td>
<td>Fe + scintillator / Cu+LAr (10\lambda)</td>
<td>Brass + scintillator (7 \lambda + catcher)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma/E \approx 50%/\sqrt{E} + 0.03$ GeV</td>
<td>$\sigma/E \approx 100%/\sqrt{E} + 0.05$ GeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Muon</strong></td>
<td>$\sigma/p_T \approx 2% @ 50$GeV to $10% @ 1$TeV (Inner Tracker + muon system)</td>
<td>$\sigma/p_T \approx 1% @ 50$GeV to $10% @ 1$TeV (Inner Tracker + muon system)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trigger</strong></td>
<td>L1 + HLT (L2+EF)</td>
<td>L1 + HLT (L2 + L3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Important differences I:

• In order to maximize the sensitivity for $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decays, the experiments need to have an excellent $e/\gamma$ identification and resolution

• CMS: has opted for a high resolution PbWO$_4$ crystal calorimeter
  - higher intrinsic resolution

• ATLAS: Liquid argon calorimeter
  - high granularity and longitudinally segmentation (better $e/\gamma$ ID)
  - electrical signals, high stability in calibration & radiation resistant
ATLAS/CMS: $e/\gamma$ resolutions

**Photons at 100 GeV**

ATLAS: 1-1.5% energy resol. (all $\gamma$)

CMS: 0.8% energy resol. ($\varepsilon_\gamma \approx 70\%$)

**Electrons at 50 GeV**

ATLAS: 1.3-2.3% energy resol. (use EM calo only)

CMS: ~ 2.0% energy resol. (combine EM calo and tracker)
Active sensors and mechanics account each only for ~ 10% of material budget
Need to bring 70 kW power into tracker and to remove similar amount of heat
Very distributed set of heat sources and power-hungry electronics inside volume: this has led to complex layout of services, most of which were not at all understood at the time of the TDRs
Important differences II:

• Inner detectors / tracker

  Both use solenoidal fields
  ATLAS: 2 Tesla
  CMS: 4 Tesla

• CMS: full silicon strip and pixel detectors
  - high resolution, high granularity

• ATLAS: Silicon (strips and pixels)
  + Transition Radiation Tracker
  - high granularity and resolution close to interaction region
  - “continuous” tracking at large radii
Important differences III:

- **Coil / Hadron calorimeters**

- **CMS:** electromagnetic calorimeter and part of the hadronic calorimeter ($7\lambda$) inside the solenoidal coil + tail catcher, return yoke
  
good for $e/\gamma$ resolution
bad for jet resolution

- **ATLAS:**
calorimetry outside coil
Hadronic absorption length of the calorimeters
Main performance parameters of the different hadronic calorimeter components of the ATLAS and CMS detectors, as measured in test beams using charged pions in both stand-alone and combined mode with the ECAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ATLAS</th>
<th>CMS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Barrel LAr/Tile</td>
<td>End-cap LAr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tile</td>
<td>Combined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron/hadron ratio</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stochastic term</td>
<td>45%/\sqrt{E}</td>
<td>55%/\sqrt{E}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant term</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise</td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>3.2 GeV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The measured electron/hadron ratios are given separately for the hadronic stand-alone and combined calorimeters when available, and for the contributions (added quadratically except for the stand-alone ATLAS tile calorimeter) to the pion energy resolution from the stochastic term, the local constant term, and the noise are also shown, when available from published data.
Biggest difference in performance perhaps for hadronic calorimetry

**Jets at 1000 GeV**

ATLAS: ~ 2% energy resolution
CMS: ~ 5% energy resolution,

But expect sizable improvement using tracks (especially at lower E)

$E_T^{\text{miss}}$ at $\Sigma E_T = 2000$ GeV

ATLAS: $\sigma \sim 20$ GeV
CMS: $\sigma \sim 40$ GeV

This may be important for high mass $H/A \rightarrow \tau\tau$
Important differences IV:

- Muon spectrometer

- ATLAS: independent muon spectrometer; → excellent stand-alone capabilities

- CMS: superior combined momentum resolution in the central region; limited stand-alone resolution and trigger capabilities (multiple scattering in the iron)