Physics at Hadron Colliders



Karl Jakobs
Physikalisches Institut
Universität Freiburg / Germany

- **Introduction to Hadron Collider Physics**
- **The present and future Hadron Colliders**
  - The Tevatron and the LHC
- **Test of the Standard Model at Hadron Colliders**
  - Test of QCD: Jet, W/Z, top-quark production
  - W- and top-quark mass measurements
- **Search for the Higgs Boson**
- **Search for New Phenomena**

Das Standard Model of Particle Physics

(i) The building blocks of matter: Quarks and Leptons (Fermions)





m (e) = 0,000511 GeV/c²
m (τ) = ~1,8 GeV/c²

m (u) = 0,005 GeV/c²
m (t) = ~ 174 GeV/c²

In comparison: m (p) = 0,938 GeV/c²

(ii) Force carriers / Interactions:

exchange of bosons





Electroweak Interaction: γ, $W^{\pm}$, Z

Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD): **Gluons**

$m_\gamma = 0$, $m_g = 0$

$M_W = 80.426 \pm 0.034$ GeV / c^2
$M_Z = 91.1875 \pm 0.0021$ GeV / c^2

Important open questions of particle physics

1. What is the origin of mass ?

• e t γ, **g,** W

Does the Higgs particle exist **?**

as proposed by P. Higgs (1964)

All properties of the Higgs particle are known, once its mass is fixed.
The mass is a free parameter in the Standard Model

Constraints (from theory and experiment):
114.4 GeV/c^2 (exp.) < m_H < ~ 1000 GeV/c^2 (theo.)

K. Jakobs CERN Summer Student Lectures, Aug. 2005

2. The question of unification:
Is there a universal force, a common origin of the different interactions ?



Famous example: J.C.Maxwell (1864)
Unification of electricity and magnetism

1962-1973: Glashow, Salam and Weinberg

Unification of the electromagnetic and weak interactions
⇒ electroweak interaction
(prediction of W- und Z-bosons)
Higgs mechanism is a cornerstone of the model



Are there new, yet unknown types of matter ?
Will we meet supersymmetry (SUSY) on the way towards unification ?



Quark		**Squark**
Top		**Stop**
Electron	⟺	**Selectron**
Wino		**W**
Higgsino		**H**



Motivation for SUSY:

(i) Unification of forces seems possible

(ii) Supersymmetry provides a candidate for dark matter in the universe




SUSY
?

Many other open questions:

- Are quarks and leptons really elementary ?
- Why are there three families?
- Are there additional families of (heavy) quarks and leptons ?
- Are there additional gauge bosons?
- What is the origin of the matter-antimatter asymmetry in the universe? What is the origin of CP-violation?
- Can quarks and gluons be deconfined in a quark-gluon plasma as in the early universe ?
- ………

Where do we stand today?

e^+e^- colliders LEP at CERN and SLC at SLAC
+ many other experiments (Tevatron, fixed target…….)
have explored the energy range up to **~100 GeV** with incredible precision

However:
The Standard Model is consistent with all experimental data !

Light Higgs boson favoured
No evidence for phenomena beyond the SM





	Measurement	Fit	$\lvert O^{meas}-O^{fit}\rvert/\sigma^{meas}$
$\Delta\alpha^{(5)}_{had}(m_Z)$	0.02758 ± 0.00035	0.02767	
m_Z [GeV]	91.1875 ± 0.0021	91.1874	
Γ_Z [GeV]	2.4952 ± 0.0023	2.4959	
σ^0_{had} [nb]	41.540 ± 0.037	41.478	
R_l	20.767 ± 0.025	20.742	
$A^{0,l}_{fb}$	0.01714 ± 0.00095	0.01643	
$A_l(P_\tau)$	0.1465 ± 0.0032	0.1480	
R_b	0.21629 ± 0.00066	0.21579	
R_c	0.1721 ± 0.0030	0.1723	
$A^{0,b}_{fb}$	0.0992 ± 0.0016	0.1038	
$A^{0,c}_{fb}$	0.0707 ± 0.0035	0.0742	
A_b	0.923 ± 0.020	0.935	
A_c	0.670 ± 0.027	0.668	
A_l(SLD)	0.1513 ± 0.0021	0.1480	
$\sin^2\theta^{lept}_{eff}(Q_{fb})$	0.2324 ± 0.0012	0.2314	
m_W [GeV]	80.410 ± 0.032	80.377	
Γ_W [GeV]	2.123 ± 0.067	2.092	
m_t [GeV]	172.7 ± 2.9	173.3	

The role of present and future colliders

1. ***Discoveries***

Energy → Explore the TeV energy domain

(some answers to the questions discussed are expected to be found on that scale)

Higgs ??
Supersymmetry ?? Other physics beyond the Standard Model ??
Experiments must also be prepared to "unexpected scenarios"

2. *Continuation of precision measurements and tests of the Standard Model*

m_W, m_{Top}

3. *Flavour Physics (b-quarks,…., CP-violation,…)*

Why a hadron collider ?

e^+e^- colliders are excellent machines for precision physics !!

- e+ e- are point-like particles, no substructure → clean events
- complete annihilation, centre-of-mass system, kinematic fixed





Proton proton collision are more complex



Main drawbacks of e^+e^- circular accelerators:

1. Energy loss due to synchrotron radiation
(basic electrodynamics: accelerated charges radiate,
dipole, x-ray production via bremsstrahlung, synchrotron radiation……)

- Radiated power (synchrotron radiation):
Ring with radius R and energy E

$$P = \frac{2\, e^2\, c}{3\, R^2} \left(\frac{E}{mc^2} \right)^4$$

- Energy loss per turn:

$$-\Delta E \approx \frac{4\, \pi\, e^2}{3\, R} \left(\frac{E}{mc^2} \right)^4$$

- Ratio of the energy loss between protons and electrons:

$$\frac{\Delta E(e)}{\Delta E(p)} = \left(\frac{m_p}{m_e} \right)^4 \sim 10^{13}$$

Future accelerators:
- pp ring accelerators (LHC, using existing LEP tunnel)
- or e^+e^- linear accelerators (under study / planning)

2. Hard kinematic limit for center-of-mass energy from the beam energy:
$\sqrt{s} = 2\, E_{beam}$



The Large Hadron Collider (LHC)

- Proton-proton accelerator in the LEP-tunnel at CERN

p   p

7 TeV 7 TeV

- Highest energies per collision
- Conditions as at times of 10^{-13} -10^{-14} s after the big bang



- Four planned experiments:

ATLAS, CMS	(pp physics)		
LHC-B	(physics of b-quarks)	A. Höcker	
ALICE	(Pb-Pb collisions)	J. Stachel	

- Constructed in an international collaboration
- Startup planned for 2007

Important components of the accelerator

- superconducting dipole magnets
  - challenge: magnetic field of 8.33 Tesla
  - in total 1232 magnets, each 15 m long
  - operation temperature of 1.9 K

  LHC is the largest cryogenic system in the world

- Eight superconducting accelerator structures, acceleration gradient of 5 MV/m

The maschine status

Lowering of the first dipole into the tunnel (March 2005).

By now there are ~60 dipoles

The magnet production proceeds very well and is on schedule, also the quality of the magnets is very good!



On the critical path for the first collisions : installation of the LHC in the tunnel, in particular due to delays in the cryogenic services lines.

Cryogenic services line

See : http://lhc-new-homepage.web.cern.ch/lhc-new-homepage/DashBoard/index.asp

The Tevatron collider at Fermilab

Proton-antiproton collider

- **1992 - 1996: Run I, 2 experiments**
  CDF und DØ, $\sqrt{s}$ = 1.8 TeV
  $\int L\,dt$ = 125 pb^{-1}

- **1996 - 2001: Upgrade program**
  Machine: new injector +
  Antiproton recycler

  Higher luminosity
  → higher event rates
  + Upgraded, improved detectors

- **Since March 2001: Run II, $\sqrt{s}$ = 1.96 GeV**
- **Currently running experiments...... Real Data**

Physics at Hadron Colliders



- Protons are complex objects:
  Partonic substructure:
  Quarks and Gluons
- Hard scattering processes:
  (large momentum transfer)

  quark-quark
  quark-gluon scattering or annihilation
  gluon-gluon



However: hard scattering (high P_T processes) represent only a tiny fraction of the total inelastic pp cross section
Total inelastic pp cross section ~ 70 mb (huge)
Dominated by events with small momentum transfer

Variables used in the analysis of pp collisions



Transverse momentum
(in the plane perpendicular to the beam)

$\boxed{p_T = p \sin\theta}$

(Pseudo)-rapidity: $\eta = -\ln \tan \frac{\Theta}{2}$



$\theta = 90^o \rightarrow \eta = 0$
$\theta = 10^o \rightarrow \eta \cong 2.4$
$\theta = 170^o \rightarrow \eta \cong -2.4$

Inelastic low - P_T pp collisions

Most interactions are due to interactions at large distance between incoming protons
→ small momentum transfer, particles in the final state have large longitudinal, but small transverse momentum



$< p_T > \approx 500$ MeV (of charged particles in the final state)

$\frac{dN}{d\eta} \approx 7$

- about 7 charged particles per unit of pseudorapidity in the central region of the detector
- uniformly distributed in Φ

These events are called
"Minimum-bias events"



More details on the hard scattering process:

Proton beam can be seen as beam of quarks and gluons with a wide band of energies

The proton constituents (partons) carry only a fraction 0 < x < 1 of the proton momentum



The effective centre-of-mass energy $\sqrt{\hat{s}}$ is smaller than $\sqrt{s}$ of the incoming protons

$$p_1 = x_1\, p_A$$

$$p_2 = x_2\, p_B$$

$$p_A = p_B = 7 \text{ TeV}$$

$$\sqrt{\hat{s}} = \sqrt{x_1\, x_2\, s} = x\sqrt{s}$$

$$(\text{if } x_1 = x_2 = x)$$

To produce a mass of:

	LHC	Tevatron
100 GeV:	x ~ 0.007	0.05
5 TeV:	x ~ 0.36	--

From where do we know the x-values?

The structure of the proton is investigated in *Deep Inelastic Scattering* experiments:

Today's highest energy machine: the HERA ep collider at DESY/Hamburg

Scattering of 30 GeV electrons on 900 GeV protons:
→ Test of proton structure down to 10^{-18} m



HERA ep accelerator, 6.3 km circumference



How do the x-values of the proton look like?





Parton density functions (pdf):

u- and d-quarks at large x-values

Gluons dominate at small x !!

Uncertainties in the pdfs,
in particular on the gluon distribution
at small x

Calculation of cross sections



$$\sigma = \sum_{a,b} \int dx_a \, dx_b \, f_a(x_a, Q^2) \, f_b(x_b, Q^2) \, \hat{\sigma}_{ab}(x_a, x_b)$$

Sum over initial partonic states a,b

$\hat{\sigma}_{ab} \equiv$ hard scattering cross-section

$f_i(x, Q^2) \equiv$ parton density function

Example: W-production: (leading order diagram)



$\sigma(pp \to W) \sim 150$ nb $\sim 2 \cdot 10^{-6} \, \sigma_{tot}(pp)$

… + higher order QCD corrections (perturbation theory)

Luminosity

The rate of produced events for a given physics process is given by:

$$\boxed{N = L \; \sigma}$$

L = Luminosity
σ = cross section

dimensions: $s^{-1} = cm^{-2}\, s^{-1} \cdot cm^2$

Luminosity depends on the machine:
important parameters: number of protons stored, beam focus at interaction region,….

In order to achieve acceptable production rates for the interesting physics processes, the luminosity must be high !

$L = 2 \cdot 10^{32}\ cm^{-2}\, s^{-1}$ design value for Tevatron Run II
$L = 10^{33}\ cm^{-2}\, s^{-1}$ planned for the initial phase of the LHC (1-2 years)
$L = 10^{34}\ cm^{-2}\, s^{-1}$ LHC design luminosity, very large !!
(1000 x larger than LEP-2, 50 x Tevatron Run II design)

One experimental year has ~ 10^7 s →

Integrated luminosity at the LHC: 10 fb^{-1} per year, in the initial phase
100 fb^{-1} per year, later, design

Proton proton collisions at the LHC



Proton – proton:

2835 x 2835 bunches
Separation: 7.5 m (25 ns)

10^{11} protons / bunch
Crossing rate of p-bunches: 40 Mio. / s
Luminosity: $L = 10^{34}\ cm^{-2}\, s^{-1}$

~10^9 pp collisions / s
(superposition of 23 pp-interactions per bunch crossing: **pile-up**)

~1600 charges particles in the detector

⇒ high particle densities
high requirements for the detectors

Cross Sections and Production Rates



Rates for L = 10^{34} cm^{-2} s^{-1}: (LHC)

• Inelastic proton-proton reactions:	10^9 / s
• bb pairs • tt pairs	5 10^6 / s 8 / s
• W → e ν • Z → e e	150 / s 15 / s
• **Higgs (150 GeV)** • **Gluino, Squarks (1 TeV)**	**0.2 / s** **0.03 / s**

LHC is a factory for:
top-quarks, b-quarks, W, Z, ……. Higgs, ……

The only problem: you have to detect them !

What experimental signatures can be used ?

Quark-quark scattering:



No leptons / photons in the initial and final state

If leptons with large transverse momentum are observed:
⇒ **interesting physics !**

Example: Higgs boson production and decay



Important signatures:
- **Leptons und photons**
- **Missing transverse energy**

Detector requirements from physics



- Good measurement of leptons and photons with large transverse momentum P_T
- Good measurement of missing transverse energy (E_T^{miss})
  and
  energy measurements in the forward regions
  $\Rightarrow$ calorimeter coverage down to $\eta \sim 5$
- Efficient b-tagging and τ identification (silicon strip and pixel detectors)

Suppression of background: Reconstruction of objects with large transverse momentum



Detector requirements from the experimental environment (pile-up)



- LHC detectors must have **fast response**, otherwise integrate over many bunch crossings $\rightarrow$ too large pile-up

  Typical response time : 20-50 ns
  $\rightarrow$ integrate over 1-2 bunch crossings
  $\rightarrow$ pile-up of 25-50 minimum bias events
  $\Rightarrow$ very challenging readout electronics

- **High granularity** to minimize probability that pile-up particles be in the same detector element as interesting object
  $\rightarrow$ large number of electronic channels, high cost

- LHC detectors must be **radiation resistant**: high flux of particles from pp collisions $\rightarrow$ high radiation environment
  e.g. in forward calorimeters: up to 10^{17} n / cm^2 in 10 years of LHC operation

How are the interesting events selected ?

TRIGGER: much more difficult than at e^+e^- machines

Interaction rate: ~ 10^9 events/s
Can record ~ 100 events/s (event size 1 MB)

$\Rightarrow$ **trigger rejection ~ 10^7**

Trigger decision $\approx \mu$s $\rightarrow$ larger than interaction rate of 25 ns

store massive amount of data in **pipelines**
while special trigger processors perform calculations



The ATLAS experiment



Diameter	25 m
Barrel toroid length	26 m
End-cap end-wall chamber span	46 m
Overall weight	7000 Tons

- Solenoidal magnetic field (2T) in the central region (momentum measurement)

  High resolution silicon detectors:
  - 6 Mio. channels (80 μm x 12 cm)
  - 100 Mio. channels (50 μm x 400 μm)

  space resolution: ~ 15 μm

- Energy measurement down to 1º to the beam line

- Independent muon spectrometer (supercond. toroid system)

Der ATLAS Detektor im Vergleich ….

ATLAS Collaboration

(Status Oct. 2003)

Albany, Alberta, NIKHEF Amsterdam, Ankara, LAPP Annecy, Argonne NL, Arizona, UT Arlington, Athens, NTU Athens, Baku, IFAE Barcelona, Belgrade, Bergen, Berkeley LBL and UC, Bern, Birmingham, Bonn, Boston, Brandeis, Bratislava/SAS Kosice, Brookhaven NL, Bucharest, Cambridge, Carleton/CRPP, Casablanca/Rabat, CERN, Chinese Cluster, Chicago, Clermont-Ferrand, Columbia, NBI Copenhagen, Cosenza, INP Cracow, FPNT Cracow, Dortmund, JINR Dubna, Duke, Frascati, Freiburg, Geneva, Genoa, Glasgow, LPSC Grenoble, Technion Haifa, Hampton, Harvard, Heidelberg, Hiroshima, Hiroshima IT, Indiana, Innsbruck, Iowa SU, Irvine UC, Istanbul Bogazici, KEK, Kobe, Kyoto, Kyoto UE, Lancaster, Lecce, Lisbon LIP, Liverpool, Ljubljana, QMW London, RHBNC London, UC London, Lund, UA Madrid, Mainz, Manchester, Mannheim, CPPM Marseille, MIT, Melbourne, Michigan, Michigan SU, M
FIAN Moscow, ITEP Moscow, MEPhI Mosc
Nagasaki IAS, Naples, Naruto UE, New Mexico
Ohio SU, Okayama, Oklahoma, LAL Orsay, Oslo,
Pittsburgh, CAS Prague, CU Prague, TU Prague,
Rochester, Rome I, Rome II, Rome III, Ruth
Santa Cruz UC, Sheffield, Shinshu, Siegen, Si
NPI Petersburg, Stockholm, KTH Stockholm, S
Thessaloniki, Tokyo ICEPP, Tokyo MU, Tokyo
Uppsala, Urbana UI, Valencia, UBC Vancouv
Wisconsin, Wupp

(151 Institutions

Total Scientific Authors
Scientific Authors holding a Ph



ATLAS detector construction and installation

ATLAS detector construction: Calorimeters



ATLAS Installation

7 out of the 8 toroid coils installed, coil installation completed in ~ 1 week

CMS



Total weight	12500 t
Overall diameter	15 m
Overall length	21.6 m

CMS Status



All 5 coil modules delivered, connected and leak-tested.

- Insertion : end of August
- Cool down : end of the year
- Magnet test and cosmics challenge

CMS : Status report

70% of muon (DT) chambers assembled, 15% installed



ECAL:
Electronics integration of a supermodule (1700 channels).

Test-beam studies confirm good energy resolution

All 400 CSC produced, 60% installed,
50% commissioned with cosmics



Tracker integration:
Inner Barrel shells



The CDF-Experiment



12 countries, 59 institutions
706 physicists

New in Run II :

Tracking system
- Silicon vertex detector (SVXII)
- Intermediate silicon layers
- Central outer tracker (COT)

End plug calorimeter
Time of flight system

Front-end electronics
Trigger and DAQ systems

The DØ Experiment

19 countries, 83 institutions

664 physicists

New for Run II

Inner detector
magnetic field added

Preshower detectors
Forward muon detector

Front-end electronics
Trigger and DAQ

Integrated and peak luminosities





integrated luminosity recorded by experiments so far: $0.84\ fb^{-1}$

Peak luminosity

Run II goal: $3 \times 10^{32}\ cm^{-2}\ s^{-1}$

Run II maximum: (to date) $1.2 \times 10^{32}\ cm^{-2}\ s^{-1}$

Run I maximum: $2.4 \times 10^{31}\ cm^{-2}\ s^{-1}$

Tevatron Luminosity Goals



Summary of the 1. Lecture

- Hadron Colliders will play an important role in particle physics over the next decade

- LHC machine has enough energy to explore the TeV energy range
  - Mass reach 3-5 TeV/c^2
  - Low energy region (above LEP energies) can already be addressed at the Tevatron today
  (Examples will be discussed tomorrow and on Friday)

- Experiments at Hadron Colliders are challenging
  Huge interaction rate → complex trigger architecture,
  Large background from QCD jet production, pile-up at the LHC

  → requires highly performing (fast, high granularity, radiation hard) detectors and electronics

Tevatron experiment CDF and DØ have started their physics programme;
LHC pp experiments ATLAS and CMS in construction phase, startup in 2007.